

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SHERMAN DIVISION**

RON FORET,
Plaintiff

vs.

MIDLAND FUNDING NCC-1
CORPORATION,
Defendant

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:14-cv-00352

Jury Trial Demanded

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

NATURE OF ACTION

1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual plaintiff for Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. (hereinafter "FDCPA"), the Texas Debt Collection Practices Act, Chapter 392 (hereinafter "TDCPA") and the Texas Business and Commerce Code, Subchapter E, Chapter 17, (hereinafter "DTPA"), which prohibit debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices.
2. Plaintiff seeks to recover monetary damages for Defendant's violation of the FDCPA, the TDCPA and the DTPA, and to have an Order or injunction issued by this Court preventing Defendants from continuing its violative behaviors.
3. Service may be made upon Defendant in any other district in which it may be found pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(e)(2).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and 28 U.S.C. § 1337.

5. Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b), where the acts and transactions giving rise to Plaintiff's action occurred in this district, where Plaintiff resides in this district, and/or where Defendant transacts business in this district.

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff, Ron Foret ("Plaintiff"), is a natural person residing in Collin County.

7. Plaintiff is a consumer as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) and the Texas Business and Commerce Code section §17.50(a)(1) and Texas Finance Code §392.001(1).

8. Defendant, Client Services, Inc. ("Defendant") is an entity who at all relevant times was engaged, by use of the mails and telephone, in the business of attempting to collect a "debt" from Plaintiff, as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5) and by Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.001(6).

9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) and by Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.001(2).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

10. Plaintiff is a natural person obligated, or allegedly obligated, to pay a debt owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant.

11. Plaintiff's obligation, or alleged obligation, owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant, arises from a transaction in which the money, property, insurance, or services that are the subject of the transaction were incurred primarily for personal, family, or

household purposes and Plaintiff incurred the obligation, or alleged obligation, owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant.

12. Defendant uses instrumentalities of interstate commerce or the mails in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of any debts, and/or regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due another.

12. In connection with the collection of an alleged debt on February of 2014, Defendant began placing collection calls to Plaintiff at telephone (214) 679-4483 in an attempt to collect an alleged debt.

13. Defendant places collection calls to Plaintiff from telephone numbers including but not limited to: (877) 237-0512.

14. On February 19, 2014 Plaintiff mailed Defendant a Cease and Desist letter via Certified Mail. *See Exhibit A attached hereto.*

15. On February 21, 2014 Defendant received Plaintiffs Cease and Desist letter. *See Exhibit B attached hereto.*

16. Despite Plaintiffs valid request, received by Defendant to cease communication Defendant continued to place collection calls to Plaintiff.

17. Defendant placed a collection call to Plaintiff on February 23, 2014.

18. Defendant placed a collection call to Plaintiff on February 25, 2014.

19. Defendant's actions constitute conduct highly offensive to a reasonable person, and as a result of Defendant's violations of the FDCPA, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for Plaintiff's actual damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney's fees.

COUNT I--FDCPA

20. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained above.

21. Defendant's aforementioned conduct violated the FDCPA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- a) Adjudging that Defendant violated the FDCPA;
- b) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k, in the amount of \$1,000.00;
- c) Awarding Plaintiff actual damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k;
- d) Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action;
- e) Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law;
- f) Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

COUNT II--TDCPA

22. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation above.

23. Defendant violated the Texas Debt Collection Practices Act in one or more of the following ways:

- a. Misrepresenting the character, extent, or amount of a consumer debt, or misrepresenting the consumer debt's status in a judicial or governmental proceeding. (Tex Fin Code § 392.304(a)(8))
- b. Using false representations or deceptive means to collect a debt or obtain information concerning a consumer, including (Tex Fin Code §392.304(a)(19)).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- a) Adjudging that Defendant violated the TDCPA;
- b) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages pursuant to the TDCPA;
- c) Awarding Plaintiff actual damages pursuant to the TDCPA;
- d) Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action;
- e) Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law;
- f) Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

COUNT III—DTPA

24. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation by reference herein all prior paragraphs above.

25. A violation of the Texas Debt Collection Practices Act is a is a deceptive trade practice under the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, and is actionable under the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act. Tex. Fin. Code. Ann. §392.404(a)

26. Defendant violated Tex. Bus. Com. Code § 17.50(h).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- a) Adjudging that Defendant violated the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Tex. Bus. Com. Code, Chapter 17, Subchapter E.
- b) Awarding Plaintiff actual damages, pursuant to Tex. Bus. Com. Code § 17.50(h);
- c) Awarding Plaintiff three times actual damages, pursuant to Tex. Bus. Com. Code § 17.50(h).
- d) Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action;

e) Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law;

f) Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY

27. Plaintiff is entitled to and hereby demands a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted,
By: /s/ Saharie L. Reed
Saharie L. Reed
Texas State Bar # 24074936
Attorney in Charge for Plaintiff

Reed Legal Group, P.L.L.C.
9330 LBJ Freeway
Suite 900
Dallas, TX 75243
p: 214.263.8075
f: 877.561.3776

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on May 30th, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the clerk of the U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, using the electronic case filing system of the court and serve the parties listed below via first class U.S. Mail and or facsimile.

/s/ Saharie L. Reed
Saharie L. Reed

Midland Funding
8875 Aero Drive, Suite 200
San Diego, California 92123
P: 858-309-6970
F: 858-309-6977

Registered Agent:
CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY
211 E. 7TH STREET
SUITE 620
AUSTIN, TX 78701